Exhibit B

Exhibit B

1 2 3 4 5 6	COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Stephen C. Neal (Ca Bar No. 170085) (nealsc@cooley.com) Linda F. Callison (Ca Bar No. 167785) (callisonlf@cooley.com) Christen M.R. Dubois (Ca Bar No. 2461 (cdubois@cooley.com) Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 Telephone: (650) 843-5000 Facsimile: (650) 857-0663		
8	Frank V. Pietrantonio (pro hac vice) (fpeitrantonio@cooley.com)		
9	Jonathan G. Graves (pro hac vice) (igraves@cooley.com)		
10	One Freedom Square, Reston Town Center 11951 Freedom Drive Reston, VA 20190-5656		
11	Telephone: (703) 456-8000 Facsimile: (703) 456-8100		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
13	RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY LICENSING, L.P.		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	WESTERN DIVISION		
17 18	In Re KATZ INTERACTIVE CALL PROCESSING LITIGATION	Case No. 07-CV-02213-RGK (FFMx)	
19	This document relates to:	Case No. 07-ML-1816-B-RGK (FFMx)	
20		PLAINTIFF'S THIRD SET OF	
21	RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY LICENSING, L.P.	INTERROGATORIES TO AETNA, INC. AND AETNA RX HOME DELIVERY,	
22	Plaintiff,	LLC	
23	V.		
24	AETNA, INC., et al.,		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28			
RD	In Re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litigation, CV-07-1816-B-RGK (FFMx)	PLAINTIFF'S THIRD INTERROGATORIES	

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing, L.P. ("PLAINTIFF") requests that Aetna, Inc., and Aetna Rx Home Delivery, LLC (collectively "AETNA") answer completely in writing and under oath within 30 days of service hereof each of the Interrogatories set forth below in accordance with the following Definitions and Instructions:

I. **DEFINITIONS**

- 1. "PERSON" means any natural person.
- 2. "ENTITY" means any business, legal, or governmental entity or association or any other cognizable entity, including, without limitation, companies, corporations, proprietorships, partnerships, joint ventures, common interest groups, joint interest groups, consortia, clubs, associations, foundations, endeavors, ventures, firms, concerns, organizations, institutes, enterprises, alliances, governmental agencies or instrumentalities, and societies.
- 3. "YOU," "YOUR" and "AETNA" refer to defendants Aetna, Inc., and Aetna Rx Home Delivery, LLC, as well as any and all past or present officers, directors, partners, employees, agents, representatives, attorneys, accountants, advisors, consultants, divisions, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, successors-in-interest, predecessors, or other PERSONS or ENTITIES acting or purporting to act for, or on behalf of, AETNA.
- 4. "DOCUMENT" is used in its broadest sense, and is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the definitions in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 and Federal Rule of Evidence 1001. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate DOCUMENT within the meaning of this term.
- 5. "COMMUNICATION" means any transmission of information from one PERSON or ENTITY to another by any means in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise.

- 1 2 3
- 3
- 5 6
- 7
- 8
- 10 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 2324
- 25
- 26
- 27

In Re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litigation, CV-07-1816-B-RGK (FFMx) Case No. CV 07-2213 RGK (FFMx)

- 6. "PATENT-IN-SUIT" or "PATENTS-IN-SUIT" refers to the patents asserted against YOU in this action, including those identified in the Complaint or in any amended Complaint.
- 7. "KATZ PATENT" refers to any patent with Ronald A. Katz or Ronald Katz listed as an inventor.
- **8.** "ACCUSED SYSTEM" is defined as one or more of the following systems:

a. Prescription Refill Systems.

Any system in existence from six years prior to the filing of this suit against You to the present by which Aetna customers can refill prescriptions with the assistance of interactive telephone technology.

Based on current discovery, the prescription refill systems include: the prescription refill system implemented in 2006 that uses the Aetna Voice Advantage platform referenced in Mr. Davis' deposition (at pp. 28-29) including any prior versions of that system; the prescription refill system that may be operated by "PharmaCare" as referenced in Mr. Davis' deposition (p. 29), including any prior versions of that system whether or not a different vendor was used; and, to the extent not already captured, any interactive telephone prescription refill service that has operated under the "Aetna Rx Home Delivery" name.

b. Member Service Systems.

Any system in existence from six years prior to the filing of this suit against You to the present that operates using the Aetna Voice Advantage platform (or any predecessor platform or IVR technology that offers at least some of the same functionality), in which a member customer can use interactive telephone services to assist with insurance claims, obtaining information on insurance benefits, coverage and eligibility and performing various other customer service tasks, such as, for example, checking claim status, requesting an ID card, updating customer information, changing providers and performing similar tasks. Beginning in 2002,

PLAINTIFF'S THIRD INTERROGATORIES TO AETNA DEFENDANTS these systems have been collectively referred to by Aetna as the "Aetna Voice Advantage" telephone self-service system.

c. Provider Service Systems.

The systems in existence from six years prior to the filing of this suit against You to the present that operate using the Aetna Voice Advantage platform (or any predecessor platform or IVR technology that offers at least some of the same functionality), in which a healthcare provider customer can use interactive telephone services to assist with insurance claims, obtaining information on insurance benefits, coverage and eligibility and performing various other tasks such as, for example, checking on claim status, obtaining a mailing address, and checking patient information and performing similar tasks. These systems are sometimes announced to callers as "Aetna's Dedicated Provider Service Center." Beginning in 2002, these systems have also been collectively referred to by Aetna as the "Aetna Voice Advantage" telephone self-service system.

d. Other Customer Service Systems.

Although we understand that such systems are already captured by the above definitions, to the extent they are not, the ACCUSED SYSTEMS include any interactive customer telephone services in existence six years prior to the filing of this suit against You to the present, which have operated under any of the following names: Aetna TRS, Aetna Vision Care Service Center, Aetna US Healthcare Customer Service, and Aetna National Dentist Line.

The Accused Services are associated with at least one or more of the following phone numbers: 800-548-8816, 800-843-3661, 800-325-6541, 877-238-6200, 800-323-9930, 800-837-0977, 877-864-4583, 800-445-8742, 800-309-2386, 800-624-0756, 888-632-3862, 212-457-0700, 800-367-3636, 800-537-9384, 800-793-8616, 800-992-7947, 800-451-7715, 866-612-3862, 800-555-4158 and 800-227-5720 and any alternate or other telephone numbers that access or have previously accessed the above systems or services.

11

12

8

13 14 15

18 19

20

16

17

27

25

26

28

- The terms "any," "all," "each," "every," "and," "or," and "and/or" as 9. used herein shall be construed as appropriate to bring within the scope of these interrogatories information and DOCUMENTS which might otherwise be interpreted to be beyond their scope.
- The use of the singular form of any word includes the plural, and use 10. of the plural form includes the singular form.

II. **INSTRUCTIONS**

- You are to answer each Interrogatory set forth below separately and 1. completely in writing under oath. YOUR answers hereto are to be signed and verified by the PERSON making them, and the objections signed by the attorney making them, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(b).
- Each Interrogatory shall be answered fully unless it is objected to in good faith, in which event the reasons for YOUR objection shall be stated in detail. If an objection pertains to only a portion of an Interrogatory, or a word, phrase or clause contained within it, YOU are required to state YOUR objection to that portion only and to answer the remainder of the Interrogatory, using YOUR best efforts to do so.
- If You or Your counsel assert that any information responsive to any 3. Interrogatory is privileged or otherwise protected from discovery, You are to comply with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5) as to each DOCUMENT, thing, oral COMMUNICATION or piece of information for which a claim of privilege or protection from discovery is made. For any DOCUMENT or information withheld on the grounds that it is privileged or otherwise claimed to be excludable from discovery, identify the information or DOCUMENT, describe its subject matter and date, identify all authors and all recipients (including copied and blind copied recipients), and specify the basis for the claimed privilege or other grounds of exclusion.
 - If You answer any of the Interrogatories by reference to records from

5.

which the answer may be derived or ascertained, You are to comply with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d). See also Advisory Committee Notes to 1980 and 1993 Amendments to Rule 33.

- If any responsive DOCUMENT is no longer in existence, cannot be located or is not in YOUR possession, custody or control, identify it, describe its subject matter and describe its disposition, including, without limitation, identifying the PERSON having knowledge of the disposition.
- If an Interrogatory is silent as to the time period for which information is sought, Your answer should include all information known to You concerning events that occurred, in whole or in part, at any time during the period of December
- Whenever an interrogatory requires the identity of an PERSON, state to
 - home and business addresses;
 - occupation, job title, or description;
 - present or last known employer;
- if employed by You, the periods of time so employed, the area(s) of responsibility during such times, the regular places of employment during such times, and general duties during such times; and
- occupations, employer's name and address, and job title at the time of the event to which the interrogatory relates.
- Whenever an interrogatory requires the identity of an ENTITY or the response to an interrogatory would require the identification of an ENTITY, the
 - a brief description of the general nature of their business;
 - its state of incorporation;

(e) the identity of its officers or other PERSONS having knowledge of the matter with respect to which the company has been identified.

456

(i

8 9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

181920

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

COOLEY GOGWARD KRONISH ELP ATTORNEYS AT LAW PAUD ALTO In Re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litigation, CV-07-1816-B-RGK (FFMx) Case No. CV 07-2213 RGK (FFMx)

the witness at AETNA'S request, or otherwise prepared in connection with the events

In Re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent

PLAINTIFF'S THIRD INTERROGATORIES

TO AETNA DEFENDANTS

9. Whenever an interrogatory refers to or seeks a description of an act, transaction, occurrence, dealing, or instance, state to the extent known the date(s) (including month, day and year) when it occurred; the place where it occurred; the identity of each PERSON or ENTITY participating therein; on whose behalf each said PERSON or ENTITY participated or purported to participate; the nature, the subject matter, and the circumstances surrounding it; and the nature and substance of all conversations or oral COMMUNICATION occurring during or in connection with it.

10. These Interrogatories are continuing in nature and YOUR answers to them are to be promptly supplemented or amended if, after the time of YOUR initial answers, YOU learn that any answer is or has become in some respect incomplete or incorrect, to the full extent provided for by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e).

For each person that AETNA expects to call and/or will call as a witness

III. INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY No. 22:

location and present custodian of any report or statement, in any form, prepared by

Interrogatory No. 23:

8 9 10

7

11

12 13

141516

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

In Re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litigation, CV-07-1816-B-RGK (FFMx) Case No. CV 07-2213 RGK (FFMx)

that are the subject matter of this legislation, and the subject matter on which the witness is expected to testify at trial, including the substance of all facts and opinion testimony as to which the witness is expected to testify at trial and all exhibits upon which each such witness is expected to rely.

Identify all documents or other evidence AETNA intends to introduce and/or will introduce at trial in support of any defense or counterclaim asserted by AETNA and/or the Defendants.

INTERROGATORY NO. 24:

Describe in detail the relationship between AETNA and PharmaCare, including whether and how AETNA manages or otherwise exercises any control over any aspect of the Aetna Rx Home Delivery system operated by PharmaCare and any benefit that AETNA receives from the operation of that Aetna Rx Home Delivery system.

INTERROGATORY NO. 25:

To the extent You have denied, in whole or in part, any Request for Admission served by Plaintiff, describe in detail the basis for Your denial.

PLAINTIFF'S THIRD INTERROGATORIES TO AETNA DEFENDANTS

Case 2:07-cv-02213-RGK -FFM Document 219-4 Filed 05/05/08 Page 10 of 10 Page ID

1	Dated: March 24, 2008	COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP
2		Al man
3		By: 10 11
4		CHRISTEN M.R. DUBOIS (CA Bar No. 246170)
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff
6		RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY LICENSING L.P.
7		Elebronic B
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		The analysis Train August Control